

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,335	03/25/2004	Takuya Iwanami	1248-0708PUSI	5438	
2292	7590 11/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH &	FAISON GEE, VERONICA FAYE			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	, JRCH, VA 22040-074	7	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1755		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/808,335	IWANAMI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Veronica Faison-Gee	1755					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ad	dress				
· ·	VIC CET TO EVDIDE	2 MONTH/C) OD THIDTY /2	0) DAVS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMI 136(a). In no event, however, m I will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to becor	JNICATION.  ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status <sup>·</sup>	,	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	August 2006.						
·—	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 10</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	•						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form P1	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documen							
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	•		0.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		een received in this National	Stage				
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		not received					
occ the attached detailed office action for a no	t of the defined dopled	not roddivod.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice	of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) L Other:	·					

Art Unit: 1755

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 8-30-06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4 and 10 under 102 and 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Causley et al (US Patent 4,818,285).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Causley et al (US Patent 4,818,285).

Causley et al teach an ink composition comprising a liquid diluent system comprises about from 30 to 100 percent of an aqueous solvent, from about 0 to 70 percent of a water-soluble organic solvent, from about 0 to 0.5 percent of the chelating agent, from about 0 to 1.0 percent of the biocide and dye (col. 3 lines 6-55). In the example lnk No. 2, the reference discloses Reactive Red 40 (non-fluorescent colorant) and Acid Red 52 (fluorescent colorant), which differ is spectral reflectance. The composition as taught by Causley et al appears to anticipate the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,335

Art Unit: 1755

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Causley et al (US Patent 4,818,285).

Causley et al is described above, but fails to specifically exemplify the formulas set forth in claims 3 and 4 as claimed by applicant.

Causley et al and the claims differ in Causley et al (US Patent 4,818,285) does not teach the exact same proportions as recited in the instant claims.

However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been obvious because the compositional proportions taught by Causley et al overlap the instantly claimed proportions and therefore are considered to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the disclosed ranges including the instantly claimed ranges from the ranges disclosed in the prior art reference, particularly in view of the fact that;

"The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages", In re Peterson 65 USPQ2d 1379 (CAFC 2003).

Also, In re Geisler 43 USPQ2d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 1997); In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (CCPA 1976); In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549, 553 (CCPA 1974) and MPEP 2144.05, absence evidence to the contrary.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica Faison-Gee whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/808,335

Art Unit: 1755

272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate

Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

vfg 11-13-06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER